

HARYANA STATE LOTTERIES

The 13th March, 1978

No. DOL/HR/78/2304.—The Governor of Haryana is pleased to select the following persons as Judges for the supervision of the 11th Draw held on Thursday, the 2nd March, 1978, at Hansi :—

1. Smt. Sneh Lata,
W/o Shri Rajpal Singh, H.C.S.,
Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Hansi.
2. Smt. Sudesh Gupta,
W/o Shri S. K. Gupta,
General Manager, Spinning Mill,
Hansi (Hissar).
3. Smt. Shanti Tayal,
W/o Shri Baldev Tayal,
M.L.A., Hansi.
4. Shri H. S. Hooda,
Executive Engineer,
H.S.E.B., Hansi (Hissar).
5. Shri Bahal Singh,
Administrator,
M.C. Hansi.

L. M. JAIN, I.A. S.,
Director of Lotteries and
Joint Secretary to Government, Haryana,
Finance Department, Chandigarh.

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

The 22nd February, 1978

No. 12(54)78-3 Labour.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sections 19 and 21 of the Punjab Shops and Commercial Establishments Act, 1958 (Punjab Act 15 of 1958), and all other powers enabling him in this behalf, and in partial modification of Haryana Government notification No. 7721-3 Lab-76/23285, dated 20th August, 1976, the Governor of Haryana hereby appoints the Labour Inspector, Kurukshetra, to be inspecting officer for the purposes of the said Act, within local limits and also change the local limit of Labour Inspector, Karnal and Jind, as under:—

Sr. No.	Designation	Local Limit
1	Labour Inspector, Kurukshetra	District Kurukshetra
2	Labour Inspector, Karnal	District Karnal excluding Panipat Tehsil
3	Labour Inspector, Jind	District Jind

The 6th March, 1978

No. 2443-3Lab-78/1390.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Somany Pilkingtons Ltd., Kassar, Bahadurgarh.

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 45 of 1977

between

SHRI JAI CHAND, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S SOMANY
PILKINGTONS LTD., KASSAR, BAHADURGARH

AWARD

By order No. ID/RK/370-D-77/21904, dated 4th June, 1977, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s. Somany Pilkingtons Ltd., Kasar, Bahadurgarh and its workman Shri Jai Chand to this court, for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Jai Chand was justified and in order?
If not to what relief is he entitled?

The parties put in their appearance in this Court in response to the usual notices of reference sent to them and filed their pleadings giving rise to the following issues framed by me,—*vide* order dated 8th December, 1977:—

1. Whether the workman concerned voluntarily abandoned his job by way of absenting himself from duty from 3rd December, 1976 to 23rd December, 1976 and lost his lien on the job under the Certified Standing Orders applicable to the parties?
2. Whether the claim statement filed by the workman requires to be verified? If yes, in what manner?
3. What is the legal effect of non-verification of the claim statement?
4. In case of non-proof of issue No. 1 whether the termination of services of Shri Jai Chand was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

Whereas Shri Sudhir Chadha put in his appearance on behalf of the management as their authorised representative before me on 21st February, 1978 the fixed date of hearing, neither the workman nor his authorised representative appeared on that date despite being directed to be present *vide* my order dated 30th January, 1978 with the result that *ex-parte* proceedings were taken up against him on the former date.

Shri Vidya Bhushan Tyagi, Labour Officer of the management concerned deposed *vide ex-parte* statement made by him on 21st February, 1978, that the workman concerned had settled the dispute while receiving Rs 300/-*vide* receipt Ex. M-2 in full and final satisfaction of all his claims under the demand leading to the reference and that he signed the written withdrawal of the demand Ex. M-1 in his presence.

I see no reasons to disbelieve Shri Vidya Bhushan Tyagi particularly when his statement is found corroborated by the documentary evidence consisting of the receipt Ex. M-2 and the withdrawal of the demand Ex. M-1 and the proceedings against the workman are *ex-parte* and the latter has taken no care to pursue the demand leading to the reference.

I, thus relying on the statement of Shri Vidya Bhushan Tyagi, hold that the demand raised by the workman on the management concerned has been fully satisfied and there is now no dispute between the parties requiring adjudication. I, thus return the award while answering the reference in these terms.

MOHAN LAL JAIN

The 21st February, 1978.

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 537, dated 27th February, 1978

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour & Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.